

Please note, these transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

BUDGET AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

JOE SERNA, JR., CALEPA BUILDING

1001 I STREET

2ND FLOOR

COASTAL HEARING ROOM

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2003

11:00 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR, RPR
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
LICENSE NUMBER 10063

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

APPEARANCES

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Linda Moulton Patterson, Member

Jose Medina, Member

STAFF

Mark Leary, Executive Director

Julie Nauman, Chief Deputy Director

Michael Bledsoe, Staff Counsel

Terry Jordan, Deputy Director

Theresa Bober

Nate Gauff

Sarah Weimer

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION (916) 362-2345

INDEX

PAGE

Roll Call And Declaration Of Quorum	1
A. Deputy Director's Report	1
B. Consideration Of Additional Awards From A Joint Offering Of The Reuse Assistance Grants For Fiscal Years 2002/2003 And 2003/2004 -- (Sustainability & Market Development Committee Item C and September Board Item 2)	7
Motion	9
Vote	14
C. PULLED Consideration Of A Grant Award To Fresno Unified School District For The School DEEL Environmental Ambassador Pilot Program From Reallocation Of FY 2002/2003 Funds -- (Education & Public Outreach Committee Item C And September Board Item 14)	9
D. Consideration Of The Grant Awards For The Household Hazardous Waste Grant Program For FY 2003/2004 -- (Special Waste Committee Item B And September Board Item 16)	9
Motion	13
Vote	13
E. Consideration Of Scope Of Work And The Department Of Transportation As Contractor For The Department Of Transportation Support Interagency Agreement (Tire Recycling Management Fund, FY 2003/2004 And 2004/2005) -- (Special Waste Committee Item D And September Board Item 18)	14
Motion	16
Vote	16
F. Review Of Monthly Board Meeting Agenda	17
Public Comment	16
Adjournment	21
Reporter's Certificate	22

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Good morning, and
3 welcome to the Budget and Administration Committee. Today
4 is September the 10th, 2003.

5 Please call the roll.

6 SECRETARY GIN: Medina?

7 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Here.

8 SECRETARY GIN: Moulton-Patterson?

9 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Here.

10 SECRETARY GIN: Washington?

11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Board Member
12 Washington is out of town. And so I'll be taking his
13 place as Chair.

14 At this time please turn off your cell phones and
15 pagers, place them on vibrating mode.

16 Chair Moulton-Patterson, any ex partes?

17 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: No, I'm up
18 to date. Thank you.

19 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And I'm up to date as
20 well.

21 At this time we'll turn it over to our Deputy
22 Director Terry Jordan.

23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Thank you, Chair Medina.
24 Good morning, Ms. Patterson.

25 First I'd like to take the opportunity to respond

1 to some items that members raised at the August Budget and
2 Administration Committee meeting.

3 As you may recall, direction was given to provide
4 members with Finance budget letters with a timely briefing
5 of each so that the Committee can make the necessary
6 decisions on important budget matters.

7 Since that time, I have personally distributed to
8 the members electronically any budget letters that give
9 direction and will require a budget exercise that may
10 result in an adverse or positive impact to the Board's
11 budget, staff resources and/or programs.

12 As timely briefings are difficult with all of our
13 busy schedules, I have attempted to summarize and
14 highlight the significant issues in the Email distribution
15 of these letters. I would like to invite your feedback as
16 to whether this is working for you or not. And if it's
17 not, we'll find another way to move through this.

18 In addition, just so that you know, all budget
19 letters -- Finance budget letters are available on
20 Finance's website, and I can provide that to you. And if
21 you're interested, you may subscribe to receive all budget
22 letters that are posted on their website as they come out.

23 I don't know if you've had time to actually see
24 your Emails today. But yesterday I distributed Budget
25 Letter 03-33, which provides direction to agencies with

1 general fund and selected non-general funds to develop a
2 20-percent reduction elimination proposal for budget year
3 2004-05. Currently we're in the process of developing
4 '04-'05's draft budget.

5 At this time, Finance has advised us that the
6 Board's special funds are fortunately not included in this
7 exercise.

8 Members also requested to get a copy of the
9 budget so that they have something to compare against.
10 Recently members were provided the fiscal year fund
11 conditions at closed session. And the fund conditions
12 included all pertinent changes that occurred through the
13 legislative session including "May Revise" and conference
14 committee changes to the Governor's budget.

15 Also, Member Moulton-Patterson directed that a
16 closed session be held last month for all members to be
17 briefed on that 12-percent reduction and that the
18 reduction plan would remain draft only until the members
19 had an opportunity to review and support that plan.

20 That has been done, as required by Budget Letter
21 03-23. And the plan was submitted to Agency, the
22 Department of Personnel Administration, and Finance on
23 August 14th. We were recently informed that the
24 Department of Personnel Administration has approved the
25 plan. However, we are still awaiting the approval of

1 Finance and direction to implement.

2 The Administration Division is currently working
3 with Department of Personnel Administration on calculating
4 final seniority scores for Board staff.

5 In addition, our Personnel Officer, Blanche
6 Harbridge-Wright, has formally submitted to DPA collected
7 survey results from Board staff regarding options for lead
8 programs. She's also followed up with a request to DPA to
9 consider authorizing the Board to implement special lead
10 programs in order to attempt to mitigate potential layoffs
11 and demotions. And we have not heard anything yet.

12 Of course the AFD is committed to keeping you and
13 Board staff informed of any new changes or results to our
14 inquires in this area.

15 Also in my August budget update to the Committee,
16 I mentioned there would likely be a significant impact
17 imposed on the Board's operating budget by all of these
18 budget reductions. As of July 1st of this fiscal year we
19 were already spending beyond our budget authority. Absent
20 careful planning and management of our allocations and
21 expenditures there is concern or could be concern that the
22 fiscal year might end in a deficit. Because of this
23 concern, the Director and executive staff are working
24 diligently to develop options for adjusting program
25 operating budget allocations, considering only the most

1 critical and essential expenses be incurred on programs
2 with a first cut at a prioritization of programs. The
3 potential impact of these options after Board approval
4 will require that we spend at a significantly lower level
5 than we have in prior fiscal years to develop the
6 necessary savings to offset a potential deficit.

7 Once the budget cost out and analysis is complete
8 the Executive Director will be seeking the Board's
9 guidance for the appropriate and necessary solutions to
10 this dilemma. This is planned around early October.
11 Unfortunately, the downside to this is that the
12 opportunity to solicit the development and consideration
13 of the Integrated Waste Management Account or funds
14 contract concepts for this fiscal year has been delayed
15 until we have a better understanding of the availability
16 of discretionary contract funds.

17 The delay for even the budget updates to members
18 has been primarily because we have been waiting for
19 legislative session to end, union negotiations to be
20 finalized, and finalization by DOF of the personal
21 services reduction plans. Until we know these elements
22 and their impact to our budget, it has been very difficult
23 to know what our bottom line dollar amount is finally.

24 Just as a side note, union negotiations are going
25 well. And it's my understanding that most negotiations

1 are complete with the exceptions of units 2, 3, 13, and
2 18.

3 On a more positive note, I am proposing that at
4 the October Committee meeting Doug Ralston of our
5 Information Management Branch provide a very short but
6 meaningful PowerPoint presentation on the development and
7 implementation of the new automated call directory system.
8 This presentation will be beneficial to all that are
9 interested because it will provide you with a better
10 understanding of the significant features of the new
11 automated call system and its operation and avoidance of
12 voice mail jail.

13 Today's Committee agenda has three award items:
14 Two grant awards and one contract award. All three of
15 these items are on fiscal consent.

16 And that concludes my Director's report. Would
17 the Committee like to hear presentations on these items?

18 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Yes, we would.

19 Before we go on, I want to thank you for your
20 prompt response in regard to the Board requests.

21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: You're welcome.

22 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: And in regards to the
23 survey results, will we be given that information?

24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Yes. In fact, we've
25 just gotten it to DPA. And we're planning on sending it

1 out to all staff.

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Very good.

3 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: I was just
4 going to ask, is that the survey on job sharing and all
5 that? Do we have those --

6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: That's correct.

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Do we have
8 the results from our staff? I mean was there an interest?

9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: There was some interest,
10 yes.

11 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Thank you.

12 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: You're welcome.

13 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: With that, if there's
14 no further questions, we'll move on to the agenda.

15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Okay. On the agenda.
16 First item, Committee Item B, September Board item number
17 2, will be presented by Sarah Weimer, Consideration of
18 Additional Awards from a Joint Offering of the Reuse
19 Assistance Grants for Fiscal Years 2002-3 and 3-4.

20 MS. WEIMER: Good morning, Chair Medina and
21 Member Moulton-Patterson. Sarah Weimer with the Reuse
22 Assistance Grants Program of the Waste Prevention/Market
23 Development Division.

24 This agenda item is for approval of additional
25 awards from the fiscal years '02-'03 and '03-'04 joint

1 offering of the Reuse Assistance Grants using Fiscal Year
2 '03-'04 funds.

3 Through the 2000-2001 budget process the Board
4 secured \$250,000 annual expenditure authority from the
5 Integrated Waste Management Account through BCP No. 5 for
6 administering future offerings of Reuse Assistance grants.

7 Eighteen grant proposals met the minimum scoring
8 requirement of 75 points. At the April 23rd, 2003,
9 meeting the Board approved the awards of six applicants,
10 five fully and one partially, based on their passing score
11 and ranking, totaling \$250,000 from Fiscal Year '02-'03
12 funds.

13 All recipients have entered into grant agreements
14 with the Board and have started their grant projects.
15 Please refer to Attachment 2 for a list of the Fiscal Year
16 '02-'03 recipients.

17 Using Fiscal Year '03-'04 funds staff recommends
18 funding seven additional applicants based on their passing
19 score and ranking, totaling \$250,000. To utilize the
20 entire current fiscal year allocation, staff recommends
21 fully funding six applicants and partially funding one
22 applicant. One of the applicants, Nevada County, was
23 partially funded from Fiscal Year '02-'03 funds and now
24 will be fully funded with the addition of Fiscal Year
25 '03-'04 funds.

1 Please refer to Attachment 4 for a listing of the
2 recommended grant award recipients for Fiscal Year
3 '03-'04.

4 I'm happy to identify and describe, at this time,
5 the proposed recipients or simply field any questions the
6 Committee might have.

7 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Are there any
8 questions, Board Member Patterson with regard to this?

9 If not, we can go ahead and move it.

10 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: No. I'd
11 like to move Resolution 2003-451.

12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Second.

13 Resolution 2003-451 has been moved by Board
14 Member Moulton-Patterson, seconded by Board Member Medina.

15 And this item will be placed on fiscal consent.

16 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Thank you.

17 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Item C of the agenda has
18 been pulled.

19 Item D will be presented by Theresa Bober.
20 Consideration of the grant awards for Household Hazardous
21 Waste Grant Program for Fiscal Year 2003 and 4.

22 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
23 Presented as follows.)

24 MS. BOBER: Good day, Committee Members Medina
25 and Moulton-Patterson. I am Theresa Bober from the

1 Special Waste Division. And I will be presenting the
2 agenda item, for consideration of the grant award for the
3 12th cycle of the Household Hazardous Waste Grant Program.

4 The purpose of this grant is to award funds to
5 cities, counties, and local agencies that reduce the
6 amount of household hazardous waste disposed of at solid
7 waste landfills.

8 --o0o--

9 MS. BOBER: The program criteria is broken up
10 into two parts: One that is driven by statute and one
11 that was recommended by staff and approved at the March
12 2003 Board meeting.

13 --o0o--

14 MS. BOBER: AB 3348 requires that funding be
15 focused on rural underserved areas, small cities, and
16 multi-jurisdictional programs addressing regional needs.
17 Due to direction from the Board at the March 2003 Board
18 meeting, the award recommendations are based on the
19 highest scoring applications using the Board-approved
20 criteria regardless of location.

21 The direction was based on PRC Section 47200
22 giving priority to rural, small, or underserved areas.

23 --o0o--

24 MS. BOBER: Last year 19 applicants had passing
25 scores, but were not able to be funded due to lack of

1 funding. Therefore, the Board approved criteria which
2 gave scoring points to applicants who had not received an
3 HHW award last cycle.

4 Additionally, all 19 of those applicants were
5 proposing programs addressing the collection of E-waste.
6 Therefore staff recommended, and the Board approved,
7 criteria which gave scoring points to applicants who focus
8 on new or expanded E-waste or U-waste programs.

9 --o0o--

10 MS. BOBER: We received 44 applications. They
11 requested over \$8.1 million in funding. Thirty-one
12 applications received a passing score requesting \$6.2
13 million. Four point five million dollars is available.
14 This allowed for full funding for 19 applicants.

15 Our next highest score was a tie between two
16 applicants. The two applicants' combined request equaled
17 76 percent of the amount remaining in the overall grant.
18 Therefore, staff recommends that partial funding be split
19 between those grantees at a level of 76 percent of the
20 requested amount. In other words, we added up the amount
21 the two grantees requested and realized we only had enough
22 money to fund each of the grants 76 percent of the
23 requested amount.

24 With this distribution, all available funds have
25 been recommended for award. In the event that a grant

1 agreement cannot be entered into with a proposed grantee
2 or if additional funds become available for this grant
3 cycle, staff recommends the remaining balance be awarded
4 proportionately up to 100 percent of the grant amounts
5 requested by these two tied applicants.

6 Additionally, if funds beyond the current
7 allocation level become available, those funds shall be
8 awarded to the next highest ranking passing applicant and
9 ties will be handled in the same proportional manner.

10 In close, we ask that the Board approves \$4.5
11 million for the 2003-2004 HHW grant and approve Resolution
12 No. 2003-432.

13 At this point, if you have any questions I'd be
14 happy to answer them for you.

15 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Thank you for
16 your presentation.

17 And, Chair Moulton-Patterson, just for your
18 information, we heard this item before the Special Waste
19 Committee. It was voted for fiscal consensus. And the
20 only concerns regarding this was that by my account, half
21 of the 4,500,000 was going to E-waste. And as Board
22 Member Jones also commented, we still have a long ways to
23 go in Household Hazardous Waste. In a lot of the
24 low-income communities E-waste is not the major problem.
25 Household Hazardous Waste is the problem. And so we have

1 to be very attentive to that.

2 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay. Well,
3 with that I'll go ahead and move approval of Resolution
4 2003-432. And I certainly agree with you. One thing --
5 well, I'll bring it up at the main meeting. I just -- you
6 know, I don't know how we get the message out. But I see
7 Diamond Bar here. They're providing public education in
8 Asian languages.

9 The area of Orange County that I come from,
10 Westminster/Garden Grove area, has the largest group of
11 Vietnamese in the whole country. And I was just thinking,
12 you know, certainly to encourage -- you know, if I got a
13 chance to encourage some of those local cities, I think
14 that would be a really good use because it's predominantly
15 Asian in those two communities.

16 So I'll go ahead and move it.

17 Oh, I'm not on. Thank you.

18 You can hear me. I have a loud voice.

19 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Chair
20 Moulton-Patterson has moved Resolution 2003-432. Seconded
21 by Board Member Medina.

22 Call the roll on that one, please.

23 SECRETARY GIN: Moulton-Patterson?

24 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Aye.

25 SECRETARY GIN: Medina?

1 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Aye.

2 And so that we'll move on to the full Board on
3 the fiscal consensus.

4 And apparently I neglected to call the roll on a
5 previous item? Which one was that? Was that item B?

6 Or at the beginning of the meeting roll call?

7 SECRETARY GIN: Moulton-Patterson?

8 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Aye.

9 SECRETARY GIN: Medina?

10 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Aye.

11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: Agenda Item E will be
12 presented by Nate Gauff. Consideration of scope of work
13 and the Department of Transportation as contractor for the
14 Department of Transportation Support Interagency
15 Agreement.

16 MR. GAUFF: Good morning, Chair Medina and Member
17 Moulton-Patterson. I'm Nate Gauff with the Special Waste
18 Division.

19 This item before you is to consider the scope of
20 work with CalTrans and also as CalTrans as contractor for
21 an interagency agreement to support their ongoing
22 rubberized asphalt concrete research and development
23 efforts.

24 The Board approved an allocation through the
25 five-year plan for 1.1 million for Fiscal Year 2003-2004

1 and 600,000 for 2004-2005. This particular contract will
2 cover both of those funding years.

3 What CalTrans is planning to do with these funds
4 is to, once again, further their research and development
5 efforts for rubberized asphalt. They're looking to do
6 testing to improve the state of the art of rubberized
7 asphalt technology. They're also looking to take that
8 information that they develop through the testing and put
9 it into specifications for use for rubberized asphalt by
10 their districts, and also do a technology transfer or
11 training of their district personnel with the funds.

12 And any questions?

13 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: No questions. Just I
14 want to state that Chair Linda Moulton-Patterson and I met
15 with CalTrans Director Jeff Morales and expressed to him
16 our strong support for rubberized asphalt. And he made a
17 commitment to us that CalTrans would continue to make a
18 serious effort in this regard. So we're very happy that
19 this is moving forward and that we're engaged in this
20 collaborative.

21 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: I certainly
22 agree.

23 And I'd like to move approval of the Resolution
24 2003-4, 4-5.

25 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: There's a. --

1 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Oh, 442.

2 MR. GAUFF: There's two resolutions. One to
3 approve the scope of work --

4 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay. Let's
5 just do 444 and 445 together.

6 MR. GAUFF: Thank you.

7 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Thank you.

8 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Okay. Resolution
9 2003-444, describing the scope of work, and Resolution
10 2003-445, approving the interagency agreement in the
11 amount of 1,100,000 for Fiscal Year 2003-2004, 600,000 for
12 Fiscal Year 2004-2005, to be entered into between the
13 Waste Board and CalTrans.

14 And that's been moved by Chair Moulton-Patterson,
15 seconded by Board Member Medina.

16 So substitute the previous roll call.

17 And this will be placed on fiscal consent and on
18 consent.

19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR JORDAN: That concludes the items
20 for the Budget and Administration Committee meeting.

21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: Thank you.

22 And if there is any public comment on any of
23 these items that were just heard or any on the business at
24 hand today, please step forward?

25 If not, with that I'll turn it over to Chair

1 Linda Moulton-Patterson for the review of the monthly
2 Board meeting agenda.

3 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay. Thank
4 you, Jose.

5 We have a two-day Board meeting coming up next
6 week. And, Mark, if you want to go over it, I know we've
7 had a couple of requests for time certain. And I believe
8 we're going to be needing a closed session. We have
9 several items already.

10 So why don't you start and kind of give me a
11 recap of what's been happening in committees and what's on
12 consent and so forth.

13 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: I would be happy to,
14 Madam Chair.

15 As you suggest, we have a hearty agenda ahead for
16 us. And we may need a little bit of that luck of the
17 Irish that you bring back with you, Madam Chair, to get
18 through this smoothly.

19 I'll go through the agenda top to bottom and tell
20 you what I know about the progress in committees as well
21 as what I know about requests for time certain. And then
22 we can compare notes maybe at the end as how things might
23 proceed.

24 Agenda Item 1 has been proposed by the Committee
25 for consents.

1 Agenda Item 2 has been proposed for a fiscal
2 consensus.

3 Agenda Item 3 has been proposed for consent.

4 Agenda Items 4 and 5 will both involve a full
5 Board presentation.

6 Agenda Items 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 -- 6 through
7 11 will all be proposed for consent.

8 Agenda Item 12 is a formal public hearing and
9 consideration of penalties for the City of Gardena. It
10 was not heard in Committee and, thus, will be heard by the
11 full Board.

12 Agenda Item 13 was a committee-only item, and
13 will need not be considered by the Board.

14 Agenda Item 14 has been pulled.

15 Agenda Item 15 was a committee-only item also.

16 In the Special Waste section, Agenda Item 16 is
17 an award and will be considered as a fiscal consensus and
18 a very abbreviated presentation.

19 Agenda Item 17 is being proposed for consent.

20 Agenda Item 18 is a fiscal consensus item.

21 Agenda item 19 will be considered by the full
22 Board. And we've had a request by the contractor who will
23 be doing the presentation to be heard on the first day.
24 He's unavailable the second day.

25 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Which one's

1 that?

2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: Nineteen. So I --

3 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay.

4 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: Agenda Items 20 and
5 21, both will involve full Board discussion and
6 consideration.

7 Agenda Items 22 and 23 were committee-only items
8 and will not -- need not be presented at the Board
9 meeting.

10 Agenda Items 24 and 25 will be proposed for
11 consent.

12 Agenda Items 26 and 27 were considered by the
13 Committee and -- need only be considered by the Committee,
14 are committee-only items and need not be considered by the
15 Board.

16 Agenda Item 28 will involve a full Board
17 discussion.

18 Agenda Item 29 was not heard in committee because
19 of its importance and thought the full Board would benefit
20 from that presentation. So it will be presented at the
21 Board meeting.

22 And Agenda Item 30, same situation. Not heard in
23 committee. Full Board consideration. And in fact that is
24 the only item that we've already designated as time
25 certain to be held the first day at 1:30. Because of the

1 complexities involved with the presenters there, they
2 requested early determination of time certain. That's the
3 Santa Cruz study on environmental justice and increasing
4 outreach for Board processes.

5 So just to share my kind of personal thoughts on
6 the management of the agenda, Madam Chair, we start at the
7 top of the agenda, move throughout the course of the
8 morning, maybe get through Agenda Items 4 and 5, and then
9 possibly leap to Agenda Item 19, in the interest of
10 concluding that in the morning so that we can start our
11 1:30 time certain after lunch on the first day Agenda Item
12 30.

13 Our other thought in terms of time certain items
14 is, because of the complexity of the Gardena hearing, we
15 might go ahead and take that up after the 1:30 discussion,
16 Agenda item 30, that is, Agenda Item 12 after 30, and
17 conclude the day after that. Because Agenda Item 12 I do
18 believe will involve some closed session deliberations.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay.

20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: That way we will
21 probably spend, you know, the afternoon on Agenda Items 30
22 and 12 alone. But if there is time, we might be able to
23 get through the rest of the Special Waste agenda on that
24 first day, maybe. That's optimistically speaking.

25 And then take up Permitting and Enforcement and

1 Agenda Item 29 on the second day. And then leave some
2 time for other closed session items. And I do have an
3 interest in discussing personnel matters with the Board in
4 closed session. And it looks like the best time for that
5 might be the second day.

6 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Second day.

7 I'd like to have -- because members of the
8 Department of Forestry are coming over to give us a
9 presentation, I would suggest that we start off Wednesday
10 at 9:30 with Item 29, bark beetle.

11 As you know, Mark and I attended a meeting at the
12 Governor's office with the CHP, the Department of
13 Forestry, CalTrans. This has huge implications. And I
14 really want to devote the time that's needed to that so
15 all the Board members are aware of this.

16 So that sounds like a good plan to me.

17 Do you have any changes on that, Jose?

18 ACTING CHAIRPERSON MEDINA: No, not at all.

19 COMMITTEE MEMBER MOULTON-PATTERSON: Okay.

20 Sounds good. And that'll do it.

21 Thank you.

22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LEARY: Thank you.

23 (Thereupon the California Integrated Waste
24 Management Board, Budget and Administration
25 Committee adjourned at 11:30 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, and Registered
Professional Reporter, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
foregoing California Integrated Waste Management Board,
Budget and Administration Committee meeting was reported
in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, and thereafter
transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or
attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any
way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
this 19th day of September, 2003.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR, RPR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10063